



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,703	12/17/2001	Mark A. Kirkpatrick	BS01-171	6387
45695	7590 10/06/2006		EXAMINER	
WITHERS & KEYS FOR BELL SOUTH P. O. BOX 71355			CUFF, MICHAEL A	
	, GA 30007-1355		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3627	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/015,703	KIRKPATRICK, MARK A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Cuff	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Au	igust 2006.					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	, _					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	<i>;</i>					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	or the contined copies her reserve	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/015,703

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall et al. in view of Pong et al.

Hall et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying including the make and model of vehicle on the order for customer identification and the step of a menu signal being sent prior to an order signal.

Hall et al. shows a method and apparatus for processing orders from customers in a mobile environment. A Mobile Customer Premises Equipment (MCPE) 105 (transmitter, PDA) is used to communicate with the supplier (receiver of the order). From column 2, lines 51-52, "the order includes customer identifying information". Figure 6 goes through the ordering process (codes included).

Brown et al. teaches a system for specifying an electronic food menu on a data processing system. Column 7, lines 51-56 show that the menu receiving unit can be a PDA 52 in a mobile environment. Figure 6a and step 126 in figure 7B provide a customized menu signal prior to the order signal in order to provide the customer with better food menu choices.

Based on the teaching of Brown et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the Hall et al. ordering processing system to incorporate the providing a customized menu signal prior to the order signal in order to provide the customer with better food menu choices.

Pong et al. teaches, figure 1, an automatic refueling station where (column 6, lines 38-44) make and model are used as identifying information for the vehicle and a customer account number is used to identify the customer. This information is used to better serve the customer in a POS environment.

Based on the teaching of Pong et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the Hall et al. system to include the customer's vehicle make and model as part of the customer identifying information in order to better serve the customer in a POS environment.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rowe et al. and Hashimoto show systems of interest.

Art Unit: 3627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1/29/06

Michael Cuff

September 29, 2006